The Parable of the Wedding Feast By Jan Lilleby

This parable is actually two parables, and nothing in_{□□} these_{□□} parables, □□ or any other parables □□ told by Jesus

had anything to do with our present dispensation of the free Grace Gospel according to Paul!

This parable seems to be prophetic — He pointed to

something in the \square future \square to happen.

Why I say this is because there cannot be much doubt, as we look back at \square Bible \square history, \square that the \square first half \square of this parable found in Mat. □□ 22:2-7 was what happened as the Romans destroyed Jerusalem, the temple and great parts of Israel in 70 AD.

Thus, I find it convenient to explain that part of the parable separately, and we shall then have a look at the second part after that.

From New King James Version, Mat. 22:2-7,

"The kingdom of heaven is like a certain king who arranged a marriage for his son, and sent out his servants to call those who were invited to the wedding; and they were not willing to come. Again, he sent out other servants, saying, 'Tello those who are invited, "See, I have prepared my dinner; my oxen and fatted cattle are killed, and all things are ready. Come to the wedding." Description But they made light of it and went their ways, one to his own farm, another to his business. And the rest seized his servants, treated them spitefully, and killed them. | 7: But when the king heard about it, he was furious. And he sent out his armies, destroyed those murderers, and burned up their city." □□□ (In this narrative, the□□ servants□□ are the apostles and

their associates)



We will notice that Jesus delivered this parable to the audience in the temple site, as it was□□ the□□ 13th□□ of Nisan 28 AD (our 27th□□ of April, Thuesday), the Passover was the next day, the day of the crucifixion of Jesus. [] IMAGE: [] The temple burning in 70 AD.

Jesus had cleansed the temple

at 12th□□ of Nisan (see Mat. 21:12, 13), healed many sick people and thus provoked the

evil-minded Pharisees there. They were after Him, but they dared not arrest Him in the open — with the people there witnessing it, like told in Mat. 21:46.

Mat. 21:46, "And although they were trying to arrest Him, they feared the throngs because they regarded Him as a prophet." Imagine then, that as Jesus spoke the parable saying that□ 'A' king sent out his servants to invite for his son's wedding banquet'□□ - the angry hateful Pharisees were listeners among the crowd there. Jesus addressed His parable directly to them!□□ As it says in verse 1, it is clearly an addressed parable, and that receiver was the flock of Pharisees present there: "Jesus spoke to them (Pharisees) again in parables, saying:".□□ And the Pharisees understood that it was them He talked about …THEY were not willing to come (to the wedding).□□ It was still the Pharisees He shot at, saying, …and the rest seized his servants, treated them spitefully, and KILLED them. That \square last sentence \square was then a prophesy. Jesus told them to their face that they would go and kill His apostles/disciples, in a persecution. Jesus was right, for we read of this in Acts, how they jailed them and killed them, over the years. As we find Paul at Rome□□ in custody 60-62 AD, Josephus□ Flavius□□ told in his book on the Jewish War, that Governor Festus,□□ who represented the Roman rule in Palestine at that time, got very ill and went to Rome for cure. That left the strict rule of the Roman Governor partially vacant, since no one could fill the position immediately, and thus, Josephus wrote that the \square priesthood and temple guards took advantage of his absence and attacked the Messianic assembly at Jerusalem. Ananias II $\square\square$ (he who had slapped Paul in the \square face) had the elders and James stoned to death, and many fled up to Pella to escape this killing spree.□□ This was the□□ very end□□ of the persecution which Jesus foretold in His parable of the Wedding in Mat. 22, for just four \ vears after, the war with Rome was started as Jews made rebellion against their rule.□□ The brutal attack against the assembly at∏∏ Jerusalem added to the confrontation Paul had with the unbelieving Jewish leaders at Rome (Acts 28:25-28) — had the effect that God simply \square gave up on Israel, and \square He \square considered them fallen away from Him.□□ That fall was confirmed by God letting the Roman army destroy Israel entirely, sending thousands of prisoners to the slave market in Alexandria, all according to Moses' prophesy in Deu. 28:68.∏∏ History tell us that Titus made

arena Colosseum in Rome. □□ But the other prisoners were sent

This destruction was what Jesus prophesied in verse 7 of the

to Egypt like I mentioned.

parable. The king got angry and set fire to their city (Jerusalem). When king Nebuchadnezzar took Judah and Jerusalem in 587 BC, Jeremiah on behalf of the Lord, said of the king that he was a servant of God for that punishing cause. And so it was also when Rome took Jerusalem, it was an army of God sent to punish and destroy the sinful nation which had just persecuted and killed God's servants, the apostles.
That took place on 10 Av (our $10^{th} \square \square$ of September) which was a Sunday. Same calendar day as when king Nebuchadnezzar's Babylonian army took Jerusalem in 587 BC \square when \square Zedekiah was king \square of Judah \square — and burned down the city \square and deported Jews as prisoners to Babylon.
So, there is no doubt that Jesus [] foretold [] of the destruction of Israel in 70 AD.
Ironically, the destruction was not $\[\] $ really $\[\] $ a Roman initiative but it was $\[\] $ provoked by the Jews themselves $\[\] $ as it arose two men among them saying they were the Messiah of God. $\[\] $
There had been an episode of sacrilege in the synagogue at Caesarea initiated by a Roman official, and that stirred up an angry mob which attacked the Roman Garrison there, and it spread to other places — especially near Galilee, north in Palestine. Eventually this brought in among others, the two leaders I mentioned above. They both had followers and they
started a more organized rebellion which ended by a total disaster for Israel. The two leaders were Eleazar ben Ananias and and Johannes Ben Levi. The entire time of the rebellion seems to have been a time of confusion and Jews fighting Romans,
and ☐ Jews fighting Jews in disagreement — total mayhem was raging. Eleazar was the leader of the ☐ first ☐ aristocratic Jewish rebel government. ☐ ☐ Ananias II eventually was killed by his own ☐ followers turning on him ☐ during the struggle between the Sicarii extremists and the more
moderate party in this rebellion against Rome. The Jews could only thank themselves for all the destruction that fell upon them — a direct result of having crucified the one and only Messiah God had sent them, Jesus. [] The king was [] infuriated [] and had His army execute these
murderers who had killed His servants, the apostles. There are three elements I would like to mention here, which are the parable of the barren fig tree in Luke 13, and the incident which took place just the same morning Jesus told His parable of the Wedding Feast — as we read Mat. 21:19 Jesus cursed the fig tree the road side and miraculously it withered up immediately. His disciples were shocked. And the third element is that Wedding Feast
parable.

back to this shortly. \square If you read the rest of chapter 22, until the last verse 46, you will find no other parable/parables in that chapter. Neither will you find any in the next chapter, Jesus' famous chastising of the Pharisees and all of their hypocrisy, lies, and heretical teachings — branding them as the children of the devil. So, it is quite Biblical to say that the ☐ plural tense ☐ in Mat. 22:1 -parables, \square is actually \square only talking \square of the one of the Wedding Feast. Mat. 22:46 says straight forward that Jesus hereby had definitely stopped the Pharisees and their many attacks against Him, "And no one was able to answer Him□□ a word, nor from that day did anyone venture or dare to question Him." I am convinced that the barren fig tree in Luke 13, which should have been cut down, was a□□ prophetic image of the unbelieving Israel: In three years the owner of the vineyard (the fig three was planted in a vineyard) had come looking for fruit but found none. In three years Jesus spent His ministry on earth to preach to Israel, but they would not receive Him, they would not believe (the fruit is their eventual□□ faith).□□ Israel would not believe on Jesus, - so therefore cut down that fig tree! But the vinedresser pleaded with the owner, if he could try one more year putting on□□ manure and digging in the soil around it to see if it could come up with fruit. That 'one year' was equal to the time used by the 'vinedresser' (here the vinedresser represents□□ the flock of apostles Jesus sent out). But even

after that extra year (30-some years in historic timeline□ of Acts) the fig tree of Israel was still barren, AND IT WAS FINALLY CUT DOWN BY THE ROMAN BATTLE AXE.

Likewise, Jesus cursing the□□ *fig tree*□□ at the road side, and it withered, - this was also a prophetic image of the very same. This fig tree made up Israel and her lack of faith.

And as third element, as already mentioned above, □□ in the same narrative, Jesus delivered His parables∏∏ of the Wedding Feast, for which He sent out His apostles to invite Israel to come to the wedding — for Israel is the ☐ bride of Jesus Christ ☐ as she comes to faith in Him. BUT...the big awesome BUT: He foretold them that they would NOT BELIEVE∏ ON□□ HIM in the future, and therefore they would persecute and kill His servants.

THE LAST HALF OF THE PARABLE

There are□□ several scenarios□□ involved in how Christianity interprets this part of the parable.

Let me first quote it to you, from verses□□ 8 to 14,

(Amplified Bible)

"Then he said to his servants, The wedding (feast) in prepared, but those invited were not worthy. □□ - So go to the thoroughfares where they leave the city (where the main roads and those from the country end) and invite to the wedding feast as many as you find. $\sqcap \sqcap \neg \sqcap \sqcap \sqcap$ And those

servants went out $\square\square$ on the crossroads and got together as
many as they found, both bad and good, so (the room in
many as they found, both bad and good, so (the room in which) the wedding feast (was held) was filled with guests.
- But when the king came in to view the guests, he looked
intently at a man there who had on no wedding garment. $\Box\Box$ -
□□ And he said, Friend, how did you come in here without
putting on the (appropriate) wedding garment? And he was
speechless (muzzled, gagged). — Then the king said to the
attendants, Tie him hand and foot, and throw him into the
darkness outside; there will be \square weeping and grinding of
teeth. $\square\square$ - $\square\square$ For many are called (invited and summoned) ,
but few are chosen."
Before we can leave the parable, we need to learn what is
said in verse 15,
"Then the Pharisees went and consulted and plotted together
how they might entangle Jesus in His talk."
They had become more and more angry — actually they became
filled with pure hate against Jesus. Why? He hung them 'Out-
to-dry' while the people in the temple heard it all. Then we
read that they came with the foolish attempt of trying to
make him speak badly of the Roman Emperor…as they showed Him
a coin acking Him if He thought it was lawful to nay tribute
a coin asking Him if He thought it was lawful to pay tribute
to him or not. Then we get the famous saying from Jesus:
'Give to ☐ Caesar what is due to him, and pay to God the
things that are due to God'. □□ The Pharisees lost□□ again□
and had to leave Him, or shamefully over their lack of
ability to talk back. [[] They were SPEECHLESS just as Jesus
told of that one man who was thrown out into the darkness
outside! This made the Pharisees even more certain that
Jesus talked of them — as He had left them speechless more
than one time.
And angrier than ever!
THE BARABLE FROM MERCE & AMB ON TO MICE.

Jesus therefore could not □□ have begun at the same start-point as that of the destruction of Israel. We have to look upon this whole parable as a short preview of contexts, which in the verses 2 to 7 was all about Israel's destruction after having killed His apostles during Acts period. □□ And the second half verses 8 to 14 is of the Pharisees being □□ cast out of the kingdom of God □□ for their lack of faith. □□ Thus creating a□□ second □□ parable □

actually.

Neither can we regard verses 8 to 14 as something that $followed\ after \square$ the destruction of Israel in 70 AD. \square



The actual context in the parable's□ last half \square is that \square Jesus used the theme of 'inviting to a wedding feast' but with the intent to show that there was an illicit guest there who had not put on wedding garment:□□ Jesus pointed directly to the Pharisees. They knew this, and therefore they tried desperate to catch Jesus by His own words, but failed miserably. Notice what Jesus said:□□ 'Tie him hand and foot and cast him into THE DARKNESS OUTSIDE (outside the kingdom of God remember, the parable was about the□ kingdom of God) — there shall be weeping and grinding of teeth'.□ IMAGE: □□ Cast the unbelieving Pharisees out into the darkness!

Jesus had already warned the Pharisees prior to this telling of the parables □ of the wedding feast, in Mat. 21:43 He spoke straight forward to their faces∏∏ *…the kingdom of God* will be taken away from you and given to a people who will produce the fruits of it. □□ Verse 45 says a lot: □□□□□ "And when the chief priests and the Pharisees [] heard His parables [] (comparisons, stories used to illustrate and explain), THEY PERCEIVED THAT HE WAS TALKING OF THEM." And so, the next parable after the Pharisees concluded and understood that Jesus was talking of them, He came up with this parable \square (parables) \square of the Wedding Feast. And Jesus used that \□ 'sneaked in' single wedding guest who had not the wedding garment on, □□ to tell the very same Pharisees that if they came into this wedding, they would be thrown out into the darkness. They would be lost of the kingdom of God, because of□□ their unbelief.□□ The Pharisees heard that Jesus told them that they would persecute and kill the apostles; they heard Him also conclude sternly that because of that, the nation of Israel would be destroyed. Jesus concluded the second part of the parable, that □□ many

Jesus concluded the second part of the parable, that □□ many were called but few are chosen — saying that to be able to come to the wedding, one must be chosen, that is, one □□ must have faith □□ in Jesus Christ. The Pharisees did NOT have faith in Jesus.

Some scholars and preachers and pastors — both \square inside the Acts-28 camp and outside it, \square - \square hold that the parable is telling of a future 'Wedding Feast' and thus invitation, to people along the 'Highways', \square as some translations say, such as NKJV; \square (but NIV says 'Go to the street corners'). \square In the understanding of that this 'invitation' went out \square after the destruction of Israel in 70 AD. \square But I cannot

agree with those. \square They are ignorant of the fact that the parable of the Wedding Feast actually is two parables. We \square cannot look past the fact \square that Jesus prophesied the end and destruction of Israel, which was fulfilled in 70 AD. Since that time, no \square 'kingdom-gospel' \square has been preached by \square anyone \square sent by God, \square and absolutely no 'Wedding Feast Invitation'. \square

If such an enterprise has been going on, then I ask: Where is it? Who are they? \(\subseteq \) What exactly are the names of the leaders of such a movement? \(\subseteq \) Can anyone give me a valid actual address with a phone number or an email address so I can check them out? \(\subseteq \) Can I participate in a meeting or service they eventually hold? Are they seen and heard on any TV-media? \(\subseteq \) I could go on and on... but I am afraid this so-called operation of going out on the 'Highways' with such a wedding invitation in the aftermath of Israel's destruction is just chasing invisible ghosts. It is a \(\subseteq \) fantasy \(\subseteq \) freakshow which nobody can see or identify in any manner. \(\subseteq \) It simply does not exist.

I say that all kingdom-preaching ended the same day as Paul had his revelation of the Mystery in Eph. 3:1-9—the dispensation of the Church, the Body of Christ. Most probably we are talking of the year 62 or 63 AD. That is when Paul wrote his two epistles, the one to the Ephesians and the one to the Colossians; revealing the free Grace Gospel for all people, and no more preaching of the kingdom of God, the millennia. It is possible that Paul was in a second jailing when he wrote to the Ephesians and Colossians. He might have been sat free from all charges by Nero's appeal court, but arrested a second time, maybe because of the turmoil caused by the great fire in Rome…but this cannot be proven.

In \Box this \Box dispensation there are only \Box true Jesusbelievers, trusting \Box in the Gospel of the free grace for all people on earth, as taught us by Paul the apostle to the Gentiles. \Box Israel is fallen and is just one nation among other nations. Eph. 2:14, 15.

So, there is no parallel calling nunning at the same time as the calling of the Body of Christ is.

The last half of the parable of the Wedding Feast is therefore NOT a continued effort on God's hand to reach out to Jews with the message of a Wedding Feast (only Israel can be the Bride of the Lamb, be lesus, be the Bride of some arth).

The dispensation of the Church, the Body of Christ, is standing all alone as long as it exists. God is not working with Israel today, they are still considered as fallen away from Him, actually, they are considered as 'non-existent' when talking of a nation. They were returned to Egypt as slaves in 71-72 AD by Roman sea vessels to Alexandria.